

Mamata Baykar

Subject: FW: Surplus Land: Narrow Strip of Land between 8 and 12Sixth Street Pin #035370479
Final Comments

Attachments: 20220629_103519.jpg; 20220628_181139.jpg

From: Fleming, Susan [REDACTED]
Sent: June 29, 2022 12:51 PM
To: Mamata Baykar <mbaykar@georgina.ca>
Subject: FW: Surplus Land: Narrow Strip of Land between 8 and 12Sixth Street Pin #035370479 Final Comments

CAUTION: This message originated from an email address that is outside of the Town of Georgina organization. Please exercise extreme care when reviewing this message. DO NOT click any links or open attachments from unknown senders. Be suspicious of any unusual requests and report any suspicious email messages to the Georgina ITS division at support@georgina.ca.

Hi Mamata

After further consideration of this application, these are my revised comments.

As discussed to clarify:

- 1) At the council meeting, we stated that we did not want to purchase it—and there are several reasons for that:
 - The application to purchase states that you need to declare that there is no foot traffic or vehicle traffic- I did not feel honestly that it would be appropriate to state this as it would not be true
 - We felt if the property should remain in the communities hands for continued use and enjoyment although the community can no longer walk through to 6th Street- they can walk through around and back out to Irving or our place.
 - We did not want to undermine the original applicants request- thinking if it was retained by the town everyone could still use it. This was my misunderstanding.
- 2) We are still concerned that should the lane be sold in full to the original applicant, having road access to and from Irving through to 6th avenue would allow for recreational use of motorized vehicles, etc and perhaps a large building. We are worried about this, we would lose all of our privacy along the length of our lot. This is our full time home now and this would impact the enjoyment of our space.
- 3) We do understand completely why the applicant wishes to purchase, and we are hoping at the very least that we can come up with a compromise that allows everyone mutual enjoyment of our homes and land.

Respectfully, if the town and council support the sale of this lane, we wish to request consideration in purchasing a small piece of the lane. And in respect and consideration of the applicant we don't feel we can offer to purchase the entire lane or even suggest dividing in such a way as to limit access to Irving.

Given that the first 75 feet of the lane adjoining the applicants land is fully usable across the full 20 feet with and the last 50 feet are only really usable across approx. 13 or 14 feet. For consideration by council I have come up with an idea that might be viable. I have attached a rough draft of what this would look like.

- 1) Sell the applicant the first 40 X 20 foot portion of the lane, and split the remainder in half 10 feet each to the Applicant and us from the 40foot mark to Irving

- The Original applicant retains 2/3rd ownership both with the larger 40X20 portion and the very usable and clear remaining 86x10 lane to Irving
- We will be left with the 86x10 feet of lane, of which 35 is usable and directly in line with back of home, and 51 feet not usable as it is swamp and culvert draining into the lake- But of the 10 feet abutting the swamp natural run off there are 3 or 4 feet available to use for walking and or bike riding to/from Irving onto our property. Which continues to give us some access which we have enjoyed for 21 years.
- This idea thou will not limit the potential for motorized vehicles to move freely through the lane. But given the extra distance from the back of our home with the additional space, we feel a little less exposed to the additional activity.

We respectfully request that if full ownership is granted to the original applicant, that the applicant erect a full 8 foot privacy fence along the full length of this lane or at least to the 80 foot mark, thus providing us all with privacy and the ability to each create our own personal space.

Regardless of the outcome we all need live and enjoy the community and live peacefully together as neighbors as we done for many years.

We wish to thank the council and Madam Mayer for allowing us to comment and participate in the discussion. I appreciate all of the time and effort going into making this decision and the fact that we were given this opportunity.

We realize that there have been some costs and that there may be new survey costs (depending on the decision) and acknowledge our willingness to pay our share of these costs.

Sincerely
Susan and Neil Fleming

VACANT LAND

126ft →

Fully usable - flat

KEN

KEN

20ft

10ft

40ft

10ft

SUSAN

4ft - walk way possible

Swamp Culvert unusable

35ft usable lane

50 feet of Swamp

- ↓ Gives 1st Applicant Room to build Structure/Storage
- Clear 10ft lane to Irving



- Gives Susan some privacy - distance
- Access to walk/pede to Irving on
- * Natural property Run off pe

